

Q: Are site surveys available for the three defined, potential site locations (understanding the fourth site option is generic and intended to be located in downtown Gunnison)?

A: Site surveys are expected to be part of the process of identifying the optimum site. There is “upstream” work to be done on verifying the viability of several sites prior to conducting a surveys.

Q: Is it anticipated that the required steering committee and staff/board meetings, for both part 1 and part 2, could be held either the same day or in conjunction with the four required open public community meetings?

A: Yes. It will likely be beneficial to have a day of staff, steering committee, and board meeting prior to engaging the public. Beyond that initial kick off, it will make sense to run meetings concurrently.

Q: Based on described project requirements, we would anticipate a minimum of 4 site visits would be required for the part 1 scope, with an additional 4 visits for the part 2 scope, totaling 8 visits minimum. Please confirm.

A: That seems accurate. There may be room for some efficiencies. Example, conducting a site tour during the day and conducting a public input session on scope and program the same evening. Spending some time in Gunnison and understanding public sentiment will be important.

Q: Which architectural firm developed the 2011 program to be verified?

Barker Rinker Seacat architecture

A: We assume that no other disciplines (civil, structural, MEP, etc.) will be required for the scopes of work identified herein. Please confirm.

That is correct. We will need to have an opinion on the viability of remodeling the current library space. The scope will likely negate the need to pursue engineering specs on adding a second story.

Q: Thank you for contacting us regarding your Library District RFP, we are very interested in the project. We have just a few questions, will this facility be a balloted bond / mill levy for your district to approve? After the design is created in Part 2 and you get community support, will you use the same design team moving forward with construction documents, or will you issue another RFP + interview process?

A: This project will eventually be contingent on community support for a Library District mill levy. There is no explicit or implied commitment to continue with the firm selected for the work outlined in the current RFP, location analysis and initial design. The District has also not made a commitment to issuing a second RFP to finalize design and generate construction documents.